Q: Why do you think it is essential to know the W's and H of the data?

## The Three Rules of Data Analysis

$\qquad$

The three rules of data analysis won't be difficult to remember: $\qquad$

- Make a picture-things may be revealed that are not obvious in the raw data. These will be things to think about.
- Make a picture-important features of and patterns in the data
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ will show up. You may also see things that you did not expect.
- Make a picture-the best way to tell others about your data is with a well-chosen picture. $\qquad$
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What pictures?
What kind of pictures?
Are there different pictures for different type of variables?
11:40 on the night of April 14, 1912
WHO People on the Titanic
WHAT Survival status, age, sex, ticket class
WHEN April 14, 1912
WHERE North Atlantic
HOW A variety of sources and Internet sites
WHY Historical interest

1. Make piles - Frequency Tables

- We can "pile" the data by counting the number of data values in each category of interest.
- We can organize these counts into a frequency table, which records the totals and the category names.


| Titanic Passengers |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Class |  |
| First | Count |
| Second | 325 |
| Third | 285 |
| Crew | 706 |

2. Relative Frequency Table - gives the percentages (instead of counts) for each category.

## Titanic Passengers

| Class | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| First | 14.77 |
| Second | 12.95 |
| Third | 32.08 |
| Crew | 40.21 |

$\qquad$


## Distribution:

The frequency tables give us the distribution of the categorical variables. They name the possible categories and tell us how frequently each occurs.
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3. Bar Chart - shows a bar whose area represents the count (or percentage) of observations for each category of the categorical variables.
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Relative Frequency Bar Chart - replace counts with
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ percentages
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Advantages and Disadvantages of Bar Charts

| Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :--- | :--- |
| Summarize large data | Can be manipulated <br> to yield false <br> set in visual form <br> arressions (via <br> frangement of bars <br> for example) |
| Clarify trends better <br> than do tables | Can fail to reveal key <br> patterns |
| Estimate key values |  |
| at a glance |  |
| Can compare two or <br> three data sets |  |
| Be easily understood |  |
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4. Pie Charts - shows how a "whole" divides into categories. The area of each wedge of the circle corresponds to the proportion in each category.


* Notice how a different display creates a different focus for your eyes and brain.

| Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :--- | :--- |
| Summarize large data | Can be manipulated <br> to yield false <br> set in visual form <br> category, Total <br> unknown, slanted pie) |
| Visually simpler than <br> other graphs | no exact numerical <br> data |
| Be easily understood | Too many categories <br> are confusing |
|  | Small or categories of <br> similar size are a <br> problem too. <br> Dominating <br> categories attract <br> attention. |

Global Warming. The Pew Research Center for the People and the Press (http://people-press.org) has asked a representative sample of U.S. adults about global warming, repeating the question over time. In January 2007, the responses reflected an increased belief that global warming is real and due to human activity. Here's a display of the percentages of respondents choosing each of the major alternatives offered:


List the errors in this display.

## Sample Response

Perhaps the most obvious error is that the percentages in the pie chart only add up to $92 \%$,when they should, of course, add up to $100 \%$. Furthermore, the three-dimensional perspective view distorts the regions in the graph, violating the area principle. The regions corresponding to No Solid Evidence and Due to Natural Patterns should be roughly the same size, at $20 \%$ and $21 \%$ of respondents, respectively. However, the angle for the $21 \%$ region looks much bigger. Always use simple, two-dimensional graphs.
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This plot of the percentage of high-school students who engage in specified dangerous behaviors has a problem. Can you see it?


