Lesson 30: What are bernoulli trials?


Bernoulli Trials $\qquad$
Random experiments with two outcomes
Success
lity of success
probability of failure is
$q=(1-p)$

* trials are independent
* a bernoulli trial can be framed as a YES/NO question

Examples: coin flips, foul shots in basketball, true-false quiz, multiple choice test, rolling a die (six is a success, all else failure) $\qquad$
probability of success $q=(1-p)$
is constant $p$
a=
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Several models can be used to estimate probabilities for bernoulli trials.

## 1. Geometric Model

- When we want to know: How long till the first success in a series of bernoulli trials?
- (Waiting time situations - till first success)
- Requires one parameter $p$ (probability of success) Geom(p)
$x=$ number of trials until first success occurs
$P(X=x)=q^{x-1} p$
$E(X)=\mu=\frac{1}{p} \quad \sigma=\sqrt{\frac{q}{p^{2}}}$


## Recall chapter 11 simulation

- Cereal manufacturer puts pictures of athletes on cards in boxes of cereal.
- $20 \%$ boxes - Tiger woods
- 30\% boxes - Becham
- $50 \%$ boxes - Serena Williams

You're a huge Tiger Woods fan. You don't care about completing the whole sports
$\qquad$ card collection, but you've just got to have the Tiger Woods picture. How many boxes do you expect you'll have to open before you find him?

Is this a bernoulli trial?

| Open box -> success (find tiger), failure(not tiger) |
| :--- |
| Probability of success same for each trial $(p=.2)$ |
| Trials are independent ${ }^{* * * * *}$ |
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## Independence

After you open a box, there is one less in circulation
so...


| We don't have infinite population |
| :--- |
| you are sampling without replacement |
| the probability of success changes |
| Oh no...... |
| BUT..... |
| We can pretend the trials are independent: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ condition: if independence assumption |
| for bernoulli trials is violated, it is okay to |
| proceed as long as the sample is smaller |
| than $10 \%$ of the entire population that you |
| are sampling from. |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ for bernoulli trials is violated, it is okay to proceed as long as the sample is smaller are sampling from.


Let $\mathrm{Y}=$ \# boxes we need to open to find woods card

```
P(Y=1) =
P(Y=2) =
P(Y=5) =
```

$\qquad$

How many boxes do you expect to open until you find tiger?
$E(Y)=$

## Spam and the Geometric model

Postini is a global company specializing in communications security. The company monitors over 1 billion Internet messages per day and recently reported that $91 \%$ of e-mails are spam! Let's assume that your e-mail is typical- $91 \%$ spam. We'll also assume you aren't using a spam filter, so every message gets dumped in your inbox And, since spam comes from many different sources, we'll consider your messages to be independent.

Questions: Overnight your inbox collects e-mail. When you first check your e-mail in the morning, about how many spam e-mails should you expect to have to wade through and discard before you find a real message? What's the probability that the 4th message in your inbox is the first one that isn't spam?

## 2. Binomial Model

- When we're interested in the probability of a number of successes in $n$ trials
- Requires two parameters



## $x=\#$ of successes in $n$ trials

$P(X=x)={ }_{n} C_{x} p^{x} q^{n-x}$
$\mu=n p$
$\sigma=\sqrt{n p q}$

The communications monitoring company Postini has reported that $91 \%$ of e-mail messages are spam. Suppose your inbox contains 25 messages.

Questions: What are the mean and standard deviation of the number of real messages you should expect to find in your inbox? What's the probability that you'll find only 1 or 2 real messages?

I assume that messages arrive independently and at random, with the probability of success (a real message) $p=1-0.91=0.09$. Let $X=$ the number of real messages among 25.1 can use the model Binom(25,0.09).

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(X) & =n p=25(0.09)=2.25 \\
S D(X) & =\sqrt{n p q}=\sqrt{25(0.09)(0.91)}=1.43 \\
P(X & =1 \text { or } 2)=P(X=1)+P(X=2) \\
& =\binom{25}{1}(0.09)^{1}(0.91)^{24}+\binom{25}{2}(0.09)^{2}(0.91)^{23} \\
& =0.2340+0.2777 \\
& =0.5117
\end{aligned}
$$

Among 25 e-mail messages, l expect to find an average of 2.25 that aren't spam, with a standard deviation of 1.43 messages. There's just over a $50 \%$ chance that 1 or 2 of my $25 e$-mails will be real messages.

## 3. Normal Model

- When dealing with a large number of trials in a Binomial situation, making direct calculations of the probabilities becomes tedious (or outright impossible).
- can be used to approximate binomial probability if we expect at least 10 successes and 10 failures.
$n p \geq 10$ and $n q \geq 10$

The communications monitoring company Postini has reported that $91 \%$ of e-mail messages are spam. Recently, you installed a spam filter. You observe that over the past week it okayed only 151 of 1422 e-mails you received, classifying the rest as junk. Should you worry that the filtering is too aggressive?

What's the probability that no more than 151 of 1422 e-mails is a real message?

I assume that messages arrive randomly and independently, with a probability of success (a real message) $p=0.09$.
The model Binom(1422, 0.09) applies, but will be hard to work with. Checking conditions for the Normal approximation, I see that:
$\checkmark$ These messages represent less than $10 \%$ of all $e$-mail traffic.
$\checkmark$ I expect $n p=(1422)(0.09)=127.98$ real messages and $n q=(1422)(0.91)=1294.02$ spam messages, both far greater than 10
It's okay to approximate this binomial probability by using a Normal model.
$\mu=n p=1422(0.09)=127.98$
$\sigma=\sqrt{n p q}=\sqrt{1422(0.09)(0.91)} \approx 10.79$
$P(x \leq 151)=P\left(z \leq \frac{151-127.98}{10.79}\right)$
$=P(z \leq 2.13)$
$=0.9834$


Among my 1422 e -mails, there's over a $98 \%$ chance that no more than 151 of them were real messages, so the filter may be working properly.

